Memorandum

Date:

December 17, 2009

To:

Golden Gate Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Golden Gate Division

File No.:

301.10470

Subject:

RESPONSE TO SAN JOSE AREA COMMAND CHAPTER 17 INSPECTION -

FINAL REPORT

Golden Gate Division has reviewed the attached response to the San Jose Area Chapter 17 Inspection and concurs with the commander. This memorandum will serve as a final report and no quarterly updates will be necessary.

Should you require further information regarding the contents of this memorandum, please contact Assistant Chief Cathy Sulinski at (707) 648-4180.

C. A. SULINSKI, Assistant Chief

Attachments

Memorandum

Date:

October 16, 2009

To:

Golden Gate Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

San Jose Area

File No.:

340.11167

Subject:

SAN JOSE AREA CHAPTER 17 SELF EVALUATION

During the month of August, the San Jose Area conducted a Chapter 17, Officer Safety Self Evaluation. The Area Administrative Lieutenant along with the Area Assigned Training Officer conducted the evaluation, along with oversight by the Area Commander.

The San Jose Area takes great pride in its Officer Safety Training Program and holds employees to the highest standards in the quality and quantity of training. As indicated in the evaluation, the Area fully complies with Departmental policy and procedures and no deficiencies we noted during the evaluation.

If you have any questions regarding this evaluation, please feel free to contact Lt. Spencer Boyce, at (408) 467-5400.

C. J. WAYNE, Captain

Commander

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
San Jose	Golden Gate	17
Inspected by:	•	Date:
Office Ricardo S	Suito/Lt. Spencer	
Boyce		08/18/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required. TYPE OF INSPECTION Total hours expended on the Corrective Action Plan Included inspection: 8 ☐ Division Level X Command Level Attachments Included ☐ Executive Office Level Forward to: Follow-up Required: Due Date: ☐ Yes X No Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: The training officer is very well organized and takes a personal ownership in his assignment. The area also has several officers who strive to make the area Officer Safety training program a priority. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: The existing program is very good. Inspector's Findings: There were no deficiencies noted during the inspection.

The inspection revealed that the Area does not have any deficiencies in our Officer Safety Training Program, and we are pleased with the findings since Area makes this a top priority.

Commander's Response: X Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
San Jose	Golden Gate	17
Inspected by:		Date:
Office Ricardo	Suito/Lt. Spencer	
Boyce		08/18/2009

uniterated in Carallet 1887 Tark	- STORY - 1	88 U. J. J. J. V.	-R -1 1 1 1 1 1	
Required Action				
				ra file i filitization
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline				

No corrective Action Needed.

	(1)	
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	1 last HA long	10/12/09
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	Carforogyk	10/13/01
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE /
	Dured & 1961	1016109
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee	101/1-11	
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	C.U. Alchief	12.17.09

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
San Jose	Golden Gate	340
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Officer Ricardo	Suito /Lt. Spencer Boyce	08/18/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

	o completed in pon c	. perion, and the eappionion oa	ii bo iianamiikon ii ao	J. 100.		
Formal E		mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REC	QUIRED No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		10/16/20	09
1. COMMA	ND INVOLVEMENT	***	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
	the command emphasi ence of injuries incurred	ze importance of proper enforceme by officers?	nt tactics to achieve the	lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	oes the commander str	ress importance of proper enforcem	ent tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	oes the safety record o	of the command reflect an awarenes	ss of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
	o the officers' CHP 100 afety?	and CHP 118s, Performance Appr	aisals, contain comment	s on officer	✓ Yes	□No
		tenants knowledgeable of enforcem correct use of safety equipment?	ent tactics, physical met	hods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is	s this knowledge applied	d properly in critiques of incidents in	volving officers and serg	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	o the captain and lieute	enants maintain a minimum level of	enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(8	a) Do they attend office	er safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(t) If they are not involv	red in officer safety, what are the rea	asons?			
				ηγ		
2. TRAININ	G AND CERTIFICATIO	N	Yes	No No	CORRECTED)
a. Do tra	nining records indicate for	ormal training has been received ar	nd certified?	101	✓ Yes	□No
ta		Il certification of traffic officers and s s of arrest, and the proper use of sa ded for:				
(8	a) Searching technique	es.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipn	nent.			✓ Yes	□No
(d	l) Suspect control.				✓ Yes	□No
(е) High risk and felony	stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f)	Hostage control.				✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportati	on.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head o	operation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		(,,,,,,,	0 00, 0.1.000				
-	(2)	Is th	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure til and sergeants?	mely recertification of all	officers	✓ Yes	□No
b			supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit invegeneral observations to determine if proper enforcement			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpo	ses?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if al what level of force, is justified?	l uniformed personnel ur	nderstand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	en complaints indicate a	through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not pris made available?	roficient and ensure refre	esher training	✓ Yes	□No
C.	ls r	efresi	ner training required prior to certification?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish o	ertification indicated on t	he CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	☑ No
			Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure the categories?	norough and continuous (oroficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	. Do	es the	command have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of profi	ciency is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and ed	quipment available for off	icer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	The San Jose Area hold	s the quality and quanti	ty of office	er Safety
		traiı	ning to the highest standard possible. All officers are ful	lly certified and participa	ate in monthly, quarterly	, and annu	al training
		exe	rcises.				
	(5)	Have	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tr			✓ Yes	□No
3. S.	AFET	YEC	UIPMENT	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.			esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uduty, in uniform?	uniformed personnel, cap	otain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations is utilized to subdue a subject?	s made on booking shee	ts when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		n an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the suspray on the CHP 121?	upervisors noting the use	/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminate water within 30 minutes?	ed by flushing the affecte	d area with clear	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_	_	X		
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
		(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
		(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster-related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No
	C.	Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
	d.	Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	✓ Yes	□No
		(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No
	e.	Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument? **Note The Provided HTML Reports Submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument? **Note The Provided HTML Reports Submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination? N/A	✓ Yes	□No
	f.	Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	✓ Yes	□No
		(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	□No
4.	FIR	REARMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTE	D
	а.	Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
-		(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Are shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□No
		(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	Yes	□No
		(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	Yes	□No
	С.	Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
		(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No
		(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

d	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	✓ Yes	□No
е	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	☑ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	√ Yes	□ No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition records)?	g (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No		
-	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) (per the shooting roster)?	al amount expended	✓ Yes	□No		
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammuni	tion verified for those tra	aining days tested?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No			
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETF	✓ Yes	□No			
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establis	hed guidelines and instr	ructions?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all perdate, etc.)?	inent information (type o	of shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No	
h.		there a procedure in place which ensures the person process volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition invento	✓ Yes	□No			
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person reinvolved with handling and recording ammunition?	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition? OFFICEL RUSCONI				
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records supervisor or backup employee?	limited to the ammunitio	n officer and	✓ Yes	□No	
i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to er	sure weapons training o	of RP officers? N/A			
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proced	ures in place?	N/A	✓ Yes	□No	
-							
J.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annua	al CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□ No	
J.		Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever			✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No	
5. P	(1)			ACTION REQUIRED		□No	
5. P	(1) HYSI	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever	ery six months?	1-01	✓ Yes	□ No	
	(1) HYSI Do	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes	1-01	✓ Yes	□ No	
	(1) HYSI Do	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes ?	No	✓ Yes	□ No	
	(1) HYSI Do (1) (2)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No	
a.	(1) HYSI Do (1) (2) We	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly famil	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No	
a.	(1) HYSI Do (1) (2) We	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly family are demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds.	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No	
a.	(1) HYSI Do (1) (2) We (1) (2)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds.	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No	
a.	(1) PHYSI Do (1) (2) Wee (1) (2) (3)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly family ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches.	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No No	
a.	(1) PHYSI Do (1) (2) Wee (1) (2) (3)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks.	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No No No No No	
a.	(1) PHYSI Do (1) (2) Wee (1) (2) (3) (4)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks. Defensive kicks.	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes	No	
a.	(1) HYSI Do (1) (2) We (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points. Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks. Defensive kicks.	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes	No	
a.	(1) HYSI Do (1) (2) We (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points: Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks. Defenses against grabs. Defenses against weapons.	ery six months? EVALUATED Yes Arrized themselves with	No	✓ Yes CORRECTED ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No	

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_							
	C.	We	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who i uncooperative?	s standing, kneeling, p	rone, or	✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental	policy on handcuffing?		✓ Yes	□No
	d.	Ar	e all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensiv	ve weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review	red?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thoro	✓ Yes	□No		
		(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	✓ Yes	□No		
6.	ΕN	NFO	RCEMENT TACTICS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	D
	a.		sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures the five options of an enforcement stop?	☑ Yes	□No		
	b.		o officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety di prehending suspected or known criminals?	✓ Yes	□No		
		(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard pre-	ility to safely control	✓ Yes	□No	
			(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			✓ Yes	□No
			(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			✓ Yes	□No
			(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?		_	✓ Yes	□No
	C.		there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied ago uations? Mou IN PLACE FOR ACTUTE SHOOTER PLOT		officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of having jurisdiction?	the incident until relieve	ed by the authority	☑ Yes	□No
		(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at a	Il times?		✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain pregress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rend			✓ Yes	□No
		(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine hostage incidents?	ine their knowledge of t	he CHP role in	✓ Yes	□ No
7.	PU	JRS	UITS	Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	0
	а.	Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the c	onduct of pursuits?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□ No
		(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits clisted in policy?	omply with enforcemen	t guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
			(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective action	ons taken?		✓ Yes	□No
	b.		es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper rsuits?	coordination with allied	agencies during	☐ Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command?					☑ No
8. F	B. FORCIBLE STOPS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO					
a.	Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on force	ble stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?					□No
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance wi	th policy?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	corrective action b	een taken or training	□Yes	□No
9. R	OADI	вьоскя	Yes Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for ene hollow spike strip?	stablishing roadbl	ocks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper metho	ds of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
10. F	RADIO	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.	Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
b.	Car	officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their ho	me Area to anothe	er Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Can	officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the	e radio head?		✓ Yes	□No